Like Darwin; "The Mass Extinction Debates: HOW SCIENCE WORKS INA CRISIS" Edited by William Glen, Stanford University Press 1994, p125; "He expected opposition from nonscientists, especially theologians, "but he had not anticipated the vehemence with which even the most respected scientists and philosophers of his day would denounce his efforts as being not properly 'scientific'" (Hull 1973) https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=dePE-

3YkQTEC&pg=PA125&lpg=PA125&dq=darwin+%2B+%22expected+opposition%22&source=bl&ots=oaPrwOeIMb&sig=uKIXPHDZqV IPR_R5XTKZE1Bj6Mw&hl=n&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwiBjLTwjJXaAhWsJMAKHSADBY8O6AEINzAF#v=onepage&q=darwin%20%2B%20%22expected%20opposition%22&f=false

http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x5cz2.htm
http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/em_pref.htm It was as
I reflected on this that I 'saw' that a
capacitor was in fact a transmission line
and the whole universe began to turn
itself inside out with the transmission line
becoming the fundamental primitive while
other concepts such as inductance,
capacitance, and mutual inductance were
constructed from it. - DSW

The Smoking Guns

http://www.electromagnetism.demon.co.uk/z021.htm http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x162.pdf http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x6611.htm http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x0301.htm

What follows is the fruit of research by a three man team; Ivor Catt, Malcolm Davidson, Dr. David Walton (CDW). http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x8441.pdf

http://www.forrestbishop.mysite.com/DEDV2/DEDV2p328-9.jpg 6 1 5 2 2 8 7 91 3 56 28 29 75 99 Ivor Catt, Walton, Davidson 1979

Theory C. There is no electric current. (In code.)

This is a misstatement. The true theory C merely states; "When a battery lights a lamp, electric current is not involved."

Je Str. Je Chy

6	Theory 91
1	C 3
5	There 56
2	is 28
2	no 29 n 14, o 15, total 29
8	electric 75
7	current 99

When we made the breakthrough in 1976, we fully expected the same treatment that Darwin did not expect, so we kept it confidential. However, we had to establish precedence, so we published the advance in code (see above). At the same time we were giving lucrative seminars, but knew we must not disclose "Theory C", or companies would stop sending their engineers to our seminars, http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/431.htm. The seminars ran for ten years, but we never disclosed "Theory C".

Even lesser disclosures led to us being "disappeared" for thirty years.

http://www.ivorcatt.org/icrwiworld78dec1.htm;

http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/cattq.htm; http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x6611.htm

. Then the vicious attacks came. http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x6611.htm; http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x311a.htm Generally, no reply was permitted. http://www.ivorcatt.co.uk/x5cw.htm

Ivor Catt 30.3.2018

You have to remember that we did not know what we were up against, but only expected it. Only a paranoid would have predicted what we experienced later on. This situation is discussed in the first part of "Silencing scientists" by the late Gordon Moran, who also had the initial disadvantage of not being paranoid.

https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Silencing Scientists and Scholars in Oth.html?id=LwlCqYk3aLUC& printsec=frontcover&source=kp_read_button&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

However, there is full documentary evidence of our suspicions. Having given up trying to get past peer review, we went downmarket to "Wireless World", now called "Electronics World". Our first CDW "profane" articles were published under the names of the three authors CDW. However, when we later approached the "sacred" Maxwell's Equations, the articles and books are limited to one author, Ivor Catt. Should the likely attacks be sufficiently

damaging, Davidson and Walton would survive untarnished to carry on the our work and possibly still publish and lecture.

Ivor Catt 4.4.2018

Ivor Catt 4.4.2018