

Ivor Catt,  
121 Westfields,  
St. Albans AL3 4JF  
0727 964267  
17oct92

pm 18.10.92 r2610.92

Derek Fatchett M.P.,  
M.P. for Leeds,  
House of Commons,  
Westminster.

Dear Derek Fatchett,

Chris Chapman, Whistle-blower.

Thank you for your 15oct92 letter. It relates to the Chris Chapman scandal, see THE OBSERVER, sun11oct92 p8.

Chapman's colleague Keith Allen was on the phone to me for much of an hour, and I asked him to ask Brian Martin to send him a free copy of his book INTELLECTUAL SUPPRESSION, plus his more recent writings. My position is that Martin is the main expert in the field which includes the firing of Chapman from Leeds University/Leeds General Infirmary, following his exposure of what all agree was large scale fraud. Martin covers the situation in the U.S.A., or can lead you to it. I have found little evidence of study of the Chapman problem etc. in Britain, so we have to learn from Australia and the U.S.A. when it comes to strategy.

As I told Keith Allen, there is some strategy involved in handling a case like Chapman's, and Martin will be the best route towards information on strategy, apart from Hillman.

Hillman was fired from Surrey University and then reinstated. I asked Allen to get Chapman to phone Hillman. He is the other source of strategy and also support.

A weaker route to the material available is through Marlene Winfield and her book x.

It is also worth accessing Hiram Caton, who may be useful.

It is most regrettable that the most useful material and insights reside at the opposite end of the world.

I emphasise to you Derek Fatchett M.P. that we need you to put whatever effort you can into mastering this field, which is very likely to grow in importance over the years. You have to ride the current gimmicks like Maastricht and the mining industry, but some effort into the problems epitomised by Chapman my well be more rewarding in the long term. Any M.P. who had latched onto my whistle-blowing on Nimrod, Tornado, Stingray and Tigerfish a decade before they came to the fore might well have been amply rewarded. None did. My efforts are well documented, and included meetings in Whitehall and drew in the Attorney General. This one is as big as the four billions involved in those rackets. (However, did the M.P. Chris Mullen who was proved right to support the Birmingham six etc. gain political advantage?)

(A number of "ethics committees" and the like in the professions are fraudulent, counter-productive tokenism, so one has to proceed with care. For instance, I am skeptical about John Maddox, who is part of the problem, not the solution. This is where you can rely on Martin. Also, your own lawyers will probably go a long way towards stitching you up. Their long term interests may well not lie with succeeding in a brief like Chapman's, given the social orientation of the legal profession. What future does Mike Mansfield G.C. have, after losing caste by messing with the Stonehenge travellers and the like? I think that the media, for all its faults, is a better route than the law. The law will then tuck in behind, as will the Commons.)

Yours sincerely,



Ivor Catt

Brian Martin, University of Wollongong, Locked Bag 8844, South Coast Mail Centre, NSW 2521, Australia, tel +61-42-287860(home), +61-42-213763(work), fax: +61-42-213452; e-mail: B.Martin@uow.edu.au,

ILKLEY  
Keith Allen 82 Kings Rd, Ilkley, W. Yorks,  
LS29 9BZ, tel 0943 609703

rji2aid

page2

Hiram Caton, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia 4111. tel (07) 875 7111. Division of Humanities.

July90 Social Audit Ltd., Box 111 London NW1 8XG 071 586 7771 re Whistle blowing. spoke with Charles Medawar. Author of recent book "Minding your own business..." is Marlene Winfield tel 071 485 7743 24 Patchull rd, London NW5 2Jy.

Harold Hillman, 3 Marrow Dene, 76 Epsom Rd., Guildford Surrey GU1 2BX tel 0463 68332

cc Brian Martin, Harold Hillman, Keith Allen.



Science and  
technology in  
their social context

Department of  
Science and Technology Studies  
University of Wollongong  
Locked Bag 8844  
South Coast Mail Centre  
NSW 2521  
Australia

29 September 1992

Dear Ivor,

Thanks for your supportive comments in your letter of the 23rd. If you can make a copy of the Andrew Tyler *Independent* article, I'd love to see it.

The origin-of-AIDS-via-polio-vaccine saga continues. It seems that *Research in Virology* has reneged on its commitment to publish Elswood and Stricker's paper. I've written a short piece on the peer review aspects of the case (Pascal is the main focus) and will see how that is received.

A few recent publications are enclosed.

Best wishes,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Brian".

Brian Martin

phone: +61-42-287860 (home), +61-42-213763 (work)  
fax: +61-42-213452; e-mail: B.Martin@uow.edu.au

Hgb 92  
from 92

pm 24.9.92 r29.9.92

Ivor Catt,  
121 Westfields,  
St. Albans AL3 4JR,  
England  
0727 864257  
23sep92

Brian Martin,  
Science & Technology Studies Dept.,  
University of Wollongong,  
P O Box 1144, Wollongong,  
NSW 2500, Australia.

Dear Brian Martin,

Here is an extract from the five page (including pictures) article by Andrew Tyler entitled *Monkey Business?* in The Independent Magazine pp24,25,26,28,30, the magazine section of The Independent, London, 19sep92, with a circulation of perhaps 500,000.

In 1985, Eva Snead, a medical writer from San Antonio, Texas, wrote a series of articles for "alternative" medical journals arguing that monkey virus contamination of polio vaccines could be the explanation for Aids. But she was little heeded. She already enjoyed pariah status among many other doctors, as a result of having her physician's licence withdrawn by Texas medical authorities following her involvement in a vociferous campaign opposing the fluoridisation of local drinking water.

Developing Snead's work, and focusing on the newly-discovered SIV rather than on SV40, Louis Pascal, an Australian-based medical researcher, attempted to identify the specific times and places where Aids might have found its African genesis. Pascal pointed to the world's first mass polio vaccination campaign, carried out during 1958 in what are today the neighbouring central African republics of Rwanda and Burundi. The following year the vaccination programme continued some 900 miles west, in the Belgian Congo city of Leopoldville - now Zaire's capital, Kinshasa. It is these places in which the African Aids pandemic is believed by most experts to have taken root. Kinshasa today shows one of the highest HIV infection rates of any African city, while the Rwandan town of Kigali is almost as badly devastated by the disease.

After being turned down by more than 20 scientific journals, Pascal began circulating his ten-page hypothesis, *samizdat* style. It went around the world, with each recipient being asked to make at least one photocopy and pass it on. "Pascal laid out the whole hypothesis in 1987," says Dr Raphael Stricker, whose own paper connecting the Congo immunisation campaign with the start of Aids is soon to be published in *Research in Virology*, a journal of the Pasteur Institute in Paris. (The executive editor of the journal is Luc Montagnier, the man who, in 1983, first identified the Human Immune-deficiency Virus.) Stricker is a blood specialist who works at the California Pacific Medical Center in San Francisco; about one third of his patients have Aids.

"Reading Pascal's paper," he says, "I just had this eerie feeling, because it was almost identical to what my co-author and I had written. And yet we had never seen his work until our own paper was completed. Independently we came up with exactly the same conclusions."

Stricker's co-author, Blaine Elswood, ....

Congratulations on a job well done. You are obviously at the centre of it. I think that although Curtis (-Stricker-Elswood) broke into print, the message is much more powerful and will travel further because of "this eerie feeling" mentioned by Stricker.

Yours sincerely,



Ivor

Truth Management

"... Consider some question of public moment that significantly involves science - the AIDS epidemic, for example. This disease is complex .... We might ... expect the experts to conduct an on-going airing of differences... Yet this is not what we observe in the American AIDS policy. Scientists and health officials present a united voice for a single policy option, which they vigorously advocate .... public debate between experts never occurred....

"... pre-emption of public choice by experts,.... Politicians have .... abetted it." - H Caton, "Product Control in the Truth Industry", Australian publication SEARCH, vol 20, no. 1, jan-feb89, pp24-26. (Ironically, H Caton does not endorse the Pascal AIDS theory.)

Some years ago, my extensive research into the Politics of Knowledge led me to write to Brian Martin in Australia saying that I believed that if one came up with information of major significance towards the amelioration of the AIDS epidemic, one would be prevented from publishing it. Brian responded by saying that he knew such a man, Louis Pascal in New York (LP). Thus began my relationship with LP. (Technically, LP thought he could ameliorate future epidemics which would follow in the wake of AIDS, but the difference is trivial.) I had successfully concluded a scientific test on my theory of suppression in science; predicted suppression, then looked for it, then found it. More was to follow, but first we shall go through a few details of the LP suppression. In July 1985 an expert panel assembled by WHO decided the (later to become) LP theory was not credible, and dismissed the subject (1). In May 1987 Eva Snead, a San Antonio physician, announced on New York local radio the oral polio vaccine contamination theory (later, after being made more rigorous, to become the LP theory.) Publication of the LP theory has been blocked since 1988 (1).

Professors Lecatsas et al. could not publish when they developed similar ideas to those of LP. "I have tried to publish results in Nature, Lancet and to comment in New Scientist. All were rejected with negative and nonsensical comments. Certainly we are trying to get our results published but 'rocking the boat' is something the establishment often does not tolerate." (2)

Luc Montagnier's Paris team discovered the HIV virus but was prevented from publishing it for a year. They sent a sample to the powerful Gallo at the US National Institute of Health (NIH), hoping he would help them to publish their discovery. Instead, he stole the sample and the credit for the discovery. He did help Montagnier to publish, but destroyed the message by adding a misleading abstract to Montagnier's paper, thus turning it into a support paper for his own (now admittedly false) ideas, which Montagnier was trying to dispute (3). The Paris team believe that the ensuing argument delayed treatment of AIDS sufferers for one year, and threw away their priority claim in order to prevent further loss of life.

Peter Duesberg broached the dissident idea that HIV did not lead to AIDS. He lost his \$350,000 p.a. research grant from NIH and is prevented from publishing his concerns. Even a letter co-signed by Montagnier, the acknowledged discoverer of the HIV virus, is blocked (4).

Due to the suppression of LP, B Elswood and Tom Curtis, unknowing, set about "re-inventing Pascal's wheel" (5) in 1991, and did succeed in publishing in the general press. Meanwhile, The Lancet, who admitted to suppressing Pascal for five years (6), then published Kyle's rudimentary version of the theory. Because of the suppression, Kyle was the third independant party to develop the LP theory.

After coming under the hammer from G. Moran for suppressing LP, Gillon published an editorial about how and why he had rejected LP's paper five years earlier (7).

Notes

- 1 L. Pascal, STA Working Paper No. 9, December 1991, available free from Dept. of Science and Technology Studies, University of Wollongong, PO Box 1144, Wollongong NSW 2500, Australia.
- 2 Letter to LP dated 4sep91 from Professor G Lecatsas, Head, Virology, Medical University of Southern Africa.
- 3 "Taking the Credit", BBC Horizon documentary, 1992. (Script available from BBC).
- 4 Sunday Times, London, 26apr92, sect. 1, pp12,13.
- 5 Letter from Elswood to Brian martin, 2apr92.
- 6 Letter from D Sharp, Deputy Editor, The Lancet, to Pascal, 24feb92.
- 7 Journal of Medical Ethics 1992, 18, 3-4.

BM, Please send mods. to this which is for very general distribution. Please distribute if you like it. I use WRITE on an IBM compatible. I also use VIEW on an ACCORN Master. What do you have?

eg. KYLE's date

5" only

Ivor May 92

PTO

I suggest you follow up the apparently very effective STA Working Paper 9 dec 91, with a "control" what about a working paper, directed readership, for an Ivor Catt suppressed theme on e-m theory. I suggest that your LP is no longer suppressed (and because of that), you ~~have~~ change for further copies of Working Paper 9 dec 91. Congratulations on a brilliant & effective & successful campaign. I would like on IBM (preferably WRITE) disc with a lot of your writings on politics of knowledge, if available,



pm 23.2.92 v 2.3.92

Ivor Catt  
121 Westfields  
St. Albans  
AL3 4JR  
England  
Telephone 0727 864257

Dear Brian Martin,

Please send the  
L Pascaud article to the journals listed  
(taken from Writers & Artists Year Book).  
I'm not sure whether they should go to the  
editor or other.

Ivor Catt

21 Feb 92

DITORIAL

THE TIMES  
2nd copy to B. AMIELS

1 PENNINGTON ST  
LONDON E1

THE GUARDIAN

119 FARRINGDON RD  
LONDON EC1R 3ER

THE INDEPENDENT

70 CITY RD LONDON EC1Y 2DB

THE SPECTATOR,

56 DOUGHTY ST LONDON WC1N 2LL

SUNDAY TIMES

1 VIRGINIA ST LONDON E1 9XJ

OBSERVER

CHELSEA BRIDGE HOUSE, QUEENSTOWN RD  
LONDON SW8 4NN

SUNDAY TELEGRAPH

PETERBOROUGH COURT AT SOUTH QUAY  
181 MARSH WALL LONDON E14 9SR

DAILY TELEGRAPH

PETERBOROUGH COURT

AFRICAN BUSINESS

ed LINDA VAN BUREN, PO BOX 261  
CARLTON HOUSE, 69 GREAT QUEEN ST  
LONDON WC2B 5BN



BIRMINGHAM POST

ed V KELLY, PO BOX 18, 28 COLMORE  
BIRMINGHAM B4 6AX CIRCUS,

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

ed STEPHEN LOCK  
BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE  
TAVISTOCK SQUARE LONDON WC1H 9JR

DAILY MAIL

NORTHCLIFFE HOUSE 2 DERRY ST LONDON  
W8 5TT

EDINBURGH EVENING NEWS

ed TERRY QUINN, 20 NORTH BRIDGE  
EDINBURGH EH1 1YT  
SCOTLAND

ENVIRONMENT NOW

EVENING STANDARD

NORTHCLIFFE HOUSE  
2 DERRY ST LONDON W8 5EE

GAY TIMES

283 CAMDEN HIGH ST LONDON NW1 7BX

GLASGOW EVENING TIMES

195 ALBION ST GLASGOW G1 1QP

GLASGOW HERALD

195 ALBION ST GLASGOW G1 1QP

EDITORIAL

THE TIMES  
2nd copy to B. AMIELS  
1 PENNINGTON ST  
LONDON E1

THE GUARDIAN  
119 FARRINGDON RD  
LONDON EC1R 3ER

THE INDEPENDENT  
40 CITY RD LONDON EC1Y 2DB

THE SPECTATOR, 56 DOUGHTY ST LONDON WC1N 2LL

SUNDAYTIMES  
1 VIRGINIA ST LONDON E1 9XJ

OBSERVER  
CHELSEA BRIDGE HOUSE, QUEENSTOWN RD  
LONDON SW8 4NN

SUNDAY TELEGRAPH  
PETERBOROUGH COURT AT SOUTH QUAY  
181 MARSH WALL LONDON E14 9SR

DAILY TELEGRAPH  
PETERBOROUGH -

AFRICAN BUSINESS  
THE INQUIRER  
1-6 ESSEX ST LONDON  
WC2R 2HY

PO BOX 261  
T QUEEN ST  
B 5BN

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS  
CHATHAM HOUSE 10 ST JAMES'S SQ,  
LONDON SW1Y 4LE  
IX18, 28 COLMORE  
CIRCUS,

THE PRACTITIONER  
30 CALDERWOOD ST  
LONDON SE18 6QH  
CK  
ATION HOUSE  
LONDON WC1H 9JR

DAI  
LIFFE HOUSE 2 DERRY ST LONDON  
W8 5TT

EDINBURGH EVENING NEWS, ed TERRY QUINN, 20 NORTH BRIDGE  
EDINBURGH EH1 1YT  
SCOTLAND

ENVIRONMENT NOW  
EVENING STANDARD  
NORTHCLIFFE HOUSE  
2 DERRY ST LONDON W8 5EE

GAY TIMES  
283 CAMDEN HIGH ST LONDON NW1 7BX

GLASGOW EVENING TIMES  
195 ALBION ST GLASGOW G1 1QP

GLASGOW HERALD  
195 ALBION ST GLASGOW G1 1QP



pm ? r24.3.92

Ivor Catt,  
121 Westfields,  
St. Albans AL3 4JR  
England  
0727 864257  
13mar92

Brian Martin, STS,  
University of Wollongong,  
PO Box 1144, Wollongong  
NSW 2500 Australia

Louis Pascal, Apt 146  
51 MacDougal St.,  
New York, NY 10012  
USA

Dear Louis, Brian,

Theocharis has just phoned to say that in today's  
ECONOMIST, Ellswood and Strickler report the proposal that  
the AIDS epidemis was caused by Polio Vaccine. They refer to  
an article in ROLLING STONES [a gay mag?] and also ?say a  
paper will come out shortly in a scientific journal. They do  
not mention Pascal.

Yours sincerely,



Ivor

Economist article pm 16.3.92 r24.3.92



Science and  
technology in  
their social context

Department of  
Science and Technology Studies  
University of Wollongong  
PO Box 1144  
Wollongong NSW 2500  
Australia

9 February 1992

Dear Ivor,

Thanks for your correspondence. I'm regularly sending out copies of Pascal's paper. While some of the people on your list have been sent copies, the majority have not. My priority is those who ask for a copy for themselves, or request one to be sent to one or two others. So your long list sits at the bottom of the queue. But never fear, I will be getting through it in due course—especially now that a new print run has been completed and there are plenty available.

Cheers,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Brian'.

Brian Martin

phone: ++61-42-287860 (home), ++61-42-213763 (work, direct), ++61-42-213691 (department office)  
fax: ++61-42-213452  
e-mail: B.Martin@uow.edu.au

CC BM

from IC  
jan 92

20jan92. To Jan Arriens; Thank you so much for your kindly 16jan92 letter, received today. Do you think that this material, worked over and structured, would be suitable for your journal THE SEEKERS?

Ivor Catt,  
121 Westfields,  
St. Albans AL3 4JR  
0727 864257  
18jan92

Ernest, Beth Morton,  
158 The Ridgeway,  
St. Albans AL4 9XJ

cc Jan Arrens,  
Editor, THE SEEKER,  
3 Middlemore Rd.,  
Whittlesford,  
Cambridge CB2 4PB

Dear Beth,

Conscious Existence, by Victor Outbridge in THE SEEKER, autumn91 p2-8.

A footnote below explains how I made the mistake of sending my previous (faulty) 10nov91 letter, my file no. qBMLaa.

Decades ago I realised that the role of science today could be seen to parallel the role of religion in mediaeval society. (This idea exists in some coteries within our society.)

During these decades, I have been working on the structuring of the parallels between science and religion. For instance, the three censors who confronted Galileo's \* attempts to publish are equivalent to the three referees who decide for or against publication of today's scientific paper.

Only some two or three years ago I stumbled on the idea that, pursuing the analogy, the 1927 Brussels/Solvay conference, when "Modern Physics" was codified and dogmatised, is science's equivalent of the (religious) Council of Nicaea in the fourth century A.D. Everyone to whom I proposed this idea was unfamiliar with it, except for Theodoros. He was clearly

familiar with the idea, and had worked on finding the minor differences within the assumed framework of similarity.

All of this happened before the Marxist empire imploded.

Totally independently, the concept of Marxism being a religion was well established. Much analysis of this parallel exists. Further, I had tentatively linked Marxism in, thus enriching the science/religion dualism into a tripartite science/christianity/marxism structure.

The exciting new development is the demonstration by the example of marxism that such structures can collapse suddenly. It follows that whereas "Modern Physics" appears well entrenched, we cannot rule out the possibility of its rapid collapse within my lifetime. It follows that after the sterile interregnum of the twentieth century, when the pseudo-science called "Modern Physics" froze science and blocked progress \*\*, we might suddenly throw it off early next century and enter a new era of progress in science.

At least three sources link the decline (ossification) of a body of knowledge with the intrusion of the professional. In the case of science, the victory of the professional over further growth in his (stable livelihood) body of knowledge took a century, but is now complete. "Modern Physics" outlaws the possibility of revolution within scientific theory.  
\*\*\*

Turning to religion, it is clear that the various schisms in the Jesus tradition, from the Gnostics through to Cathar to Reformation to Methodist to Quaker, represent the division between professional and amateur religion. It is nonsensical if the ultimate amateurs, the Quakers, should seek to find solace in the ultimate professionalisation of science in Modern Physics.

True (nineteenth century) science and true religion are different arts which relate to different aspects of the human condition. It is necessary to partition, in the same way as it is necessary to distinguish between and separate eating accomodation from sleeping accomodation. The fact that you do not eat your meals off your bed does not mean that an unnecessary, unfortunate split (schizophrenia) exists in your life.

It is not surprising that given such necessary divisions, the disintegration of a discipline, the example being "Modern Physics" as a disintegrated science, will cause a blurring of the boundaries. The fact that "Modern Physics", a bastard pseudo-science, which contravenes the fundamental norms of science, should be drifting about in foreign waters, for instance religion, is not surprising. Healthy religion must ignore it.

Yours sincerely,

Ivor Catt

Ivor Catt,  
121 Westfields,  
St. Albans AL3 4JR,  
England.  
tel 0727 864257  
20jan92

Brian Martin,  
Science and Technology Studies Dept.,  
University of Wollongong  
P O Box 1144, Wollongong,  
NSW, Australia.

Louis Pascal,  
51 MacDougal St., Apt. 146,  
New York, N.Y. 10012,  
U.S.A.

Dear Louis Pascal, Brian Martin,

My mother told me that, in succeeding, the suffragettes destroyed themselves. Their raison d'être gone, they were lost. This is why it is so very important for an activist to have more than one concern, as a defence against the possibility of his achieving total success in one of them, and thereby personal oblivion.

The gearing on the Pascal thesis in terms of cost to civilization must mean that he became totally locked into it. I believe that the effort put in by Brian Martin, which can be argued to be better than conventional publication (although Hillman thinks it is inferior), means that the primary Pascal objective, to register his idea(s), has been achieved. It becomes important for Louis to clearly distinguish between this first objective and further possible aims, including the arguing of his case in public debate. In these latter activities, I believe that his responsibility is much less, and he must enter into them only after due consideration of whether to do so, and how deeply to go. At this point, post BM "publication", the moral pressure on LP to further effort falls away. He is in my opinion free, should he so wish, to walk away from the subject. I feel that he will not, but he should certainly view it differently, appreciating that the efforts and achievement of Brian Martin alter the situation.

It is important that the AIDS/polio exercise should not be the end of Louis, and I think that now is the time for him to feel that the moral imperative is over, and he can diversify. It would be quite wrong for this single issue to destroy Louis.

Similarly, I myself am grateful to Brian Martin for his great fillip to the LP affair in that it takes the pressure off me. Now, as with my own ideas, I feel that LP's ideas should fend for themselves. There is a great difference between, with Victor Hugo, working to get his ideas considered, and working to get them accepted. There is a massive difference between, on the one hand, an evolved conspiracy withholding the LP information from the community, and on the other hand, post BM "publication", the significant number around the world who are now appraised of it, ignoring it. These hundreds can represent and act for society as a whole. If society after proper consideration decides to die through further epidemics, this is not LP's problem.

Both BM and LP are over-exercised over attribution/acknowledgement for Ivor. As BM said, IC did not help to write the material.

Thank you to BM for his 9jan92 letter, and to LP for his 24dec91 letter. I am happy with the new title, Louis.

Yours sincerely,

*Try to detect the handwriting!*

\* This is talking within the received view of the Galileo story, not within the surely more accurate analysis by G de Santillana in his book "The Crime of Galileo".

\*\* Memory tells me that there are many sources, including some exalted ones within the halls of Modern Physics, who assert that Modern Physics (1) has lost its way and/or (2) is sterile. An probable example is Feynman.

www "Within Modern Physics", the mechanism for blocking (Kuhnian, revolutionary) progress in science is codified within "The Correspondence Principle", see Catt I, Electromagnetic Theory, pub C.A.M. Publishing, p296.

qjw0aa

page 3